# Doctorate in Bioengineering and Robotics

# PhD Thesis Review Form

# Guidelines

**Requirements and legal constraints**

* In order to receive the PhD in Bioengineering and Robotics from the Università degli Studi di Genova the candidates must have demonstrated to:
	+ have carried out original research in one of the following domains, corresponding to the five curricula of the Doctorate:
		- Bioengineering
		- Bionanotechnologies
		- Cognitive Systems and Rehabilitation Technologies
		- Humanoid and Advanced Robotics
		- Robotics and Autonomous Systems
	+ have published at least one article on qualified International Journals or peer reviewed Proceedings of top level International Conferences
	+ have acquired scientific, methodological and technical competences necessary to carry out scientific experiments independently and to plan and evaluate R&D activities ..
* The Reviewers can evaluate the PhD Thesis using the following grades: *unacceptable*, *acceptable*, *satisfactory*, *good*, *very good*, *excellent*.
* The Candidate will be admitted to the PhD Thesis defence if the Thesis receives positive evaluation from two independent Reviewers appointed by the PhD Board.
* The review reports will be made known to the Candidate’s Supervisor and it will be used to improve the manuscript if required.
* In case the work will be evaluated as *unacceptable* the Candidate will have up to six months to produce an upgraded version of the Thesis, on the basis of the Reviewer’s comments and remarks, for a second review. After the second review the Candidate will be anyway admitted to PhD Thesis defence.
* The reviews will be made available to the Final Exam Evaluation Board.

**THE REVIEW MUST BE COMPLETED BY <MONTH> <DAY>, 2024**

**PhD Candidate** : …

**Title of the Thesis** : …

**Supervisor(s):**

**1. Scientific Evaluation of the PhD Thesis**

**1a. Originality of the Research**

*unacceptable / acceptable / satisfactory / good / very good / excellent*

***Comments and Remarks:***

…

**1b. Methodology**

*unacceptable / acceptable / satisfactory / good / very good / excellent*

***Comments and Remarks:***

…

**1c. Technical and Scientific Quality**

*unacceptable / acceptable / satisfactory / good / very good / excellent*

***Comments and Remarks:***

…

**2. Quality of the Thesis**

**2a. Clarity of the Thesis**

*unacceptable / acceptable / satisfactory / good / very good / excellent*

***Comments and Remarks:***

…

**2b. References and Literature Review**

*unacceptable / acceptable / satisfactory / good / very good / excellent*

***Comments and Remarks:***

…

**3. Overall Assessment (based upon the above evaluations)**

**The PhD candidate will not be allowed to defend the thesis if any of the above evaluation criteria are marked as ‘unacceptable’ by the Reviewer. In the case of a mark of *unacceptable*, please provide comments useful for the Candidate to produce an upgraded version of the Thesis.**

*unacceptable / acceptable / satisfactory / good / very good / excellent*

***Comments and Remarks:***

…

*The undersigned considers that the PhD candidate can defend the thesis:* **YES / NO**

**Name of the Reviewer :** ............................................

**Qualification :** ……………………………

**Date :** ……………………………

**Signature :** ……………………………

Please email the completed form to:

* the Doctorate’s secretariat: phd.biorob@dibris.unige.it
* Supervisor of the Candidate: ...